Low-energy relation for the trace of the energy-momentum tensor in QCD and the gluon condensate in a magnetic field

N.O. Agasian[1,2]

[1] *Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 117218 Moscow, Russia*

[2] *National Research Nuclear University MIFI, 115409 Moscow, Russia*

arXiv:1608.06773v1 [hep-ph] 24 Aug 2016

A treatment is given of the nonperturbative QCD vacuum in a magnetic field. The low-energy equation for the trace of the energy-momentum tensor in a magnetic field is derived. It is shown that the derivatives with respect to a magnetic field of the quark and gluon contributions to the trace of the energy-momentum tensor are equal. The dependence of the gluon condensate on the magnetic field strength is derived both for strong and weak fields.

1. The phase structure of vacuum in an external magnetic field B became an important object of investigations. It has been recently shown that strong magnetic fields with strengths $eB \sim 10^2 \div 10^4$ МэВ^2 can be generated in heavy-ion collisions. These magnetic fields can initiate the observed phenomena (so-called chiral magnetic effect) [1–5] in experiments at the RHIC and LHC. Strong magnetic fields $eB \sim \Lambda^2_{QCD}$ could have existed in the early Universe at the energy scale of strong interactions. These magnetic fields can lead to new interesting phenomena at the stage of the quark-hadron phase transition [6–31].

Quantum-field theories involve important relations following from the symmetry properties of a theory. Search for symmetries and constraints imposed by them on the physical characteristics of the system are of particular importance in QCD, which is a theory with confinement, where "observables" are composite states-hadrons. Low-energy theorems or Ward identities (scale and chiral) are of fundamental importance for a deeper insight into the nonperturbative properties of the QCD vacuum. Low-energy theorems of QCD were obtained in the early 1980s [32]. Low-energy theorems of QCD, which follow from the general symmetry properties and are independent of the details of the confinement mechanism, make it possible to obtain information sometimes otherwise inaccessible. In addition, they can be

used as "physically reasonable" constraints when developing effective theories and various models of the QCD vacuum. The low-energy theorems of QCD at $T \neq 0$, $\mu_q \neq 0$ were obtained in [33, 34]. Low-energy theorems in a magnetic field and its applications to various physical processes were studied in [35–38]. Low-energy relations for the energy-momentum tensor at finite temperature were analyzed in [39, 40].

In this work, a low-energy relation for the trace of the energy-momentum tensor in QCD in a magnetic field is obtained. This relation is used to find the magnetic field dependence of the gluon condensate in the limiting case of strong and weak fields.

2. In the Euclidean formulation, the partition function of QCD in the presence of an external Abelian field A_μ can be represented in the form

$$Z = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4e^2}\int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}^2\right\}\int [DC][D\bar{q}][Dq]\exp\left\{-\int d^4x\mathcal{L}\right\}, \tag{1}$$

where the QCD Lagrangian in a background field has the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4g_0^2}(G_{\mu\nu}^a)^2 + \sum_{q=u,d}\bar{q}[\gamma_\mu(\partial_\mu - iQ_qA_\mu - i\frac{\lambda^a}{2}C_\mu^a) + m_{0q}]q. \tag{2}$$

Here, Q_q – is the charge matrix for quarks with flavor $q = (u, d)$ and the bare mass m_{0q} and the ghost and gauge-fixing terms are not written explicitly for simplicity. The energy density is given by the expression $V_4\varepsilon\ (B, m_{0u}, m_{0d}) = -\ln Z$. The relation for the gluon condensate ($\langle G^2\rangle \equiv \langle (G_{\mu\nu}^a)^2\rangle$) follows from Eq.(1):

$$\langle G^2\rangle(B, m_{0u}, m_{0d}) = 4\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial(1/g_0^2)}\ . \tag{3}$$

The system described by the partition function given by Eq. (1) is characterized by the set of dimensional parameters $M, B, m_{0q}(M)$ and the dimensionless charge $g_0^2(M)$, where M is the ultraviolet-cutoff mass. At the same time, it is possible to consider the renormalized energy density ε_R and, with the use of dimensional and renormalization group properties ε_R, to represent Eq. (3) in the form including the derivatives with respect to both the physical parameter B and renormalized masses m_q.

The phenomenon of dimensional transmutation leads to the appearance of the nonperturbative dimensional parameter

$$\Lambda = M\exp\left\{\int_{\alpha_s(M)}^{\infty}\frac{d\alpha_s}{\beta(\alpha_s)}\right\}\ , \tag{4}$$

where $\alpha_s = g_0^2/4\pi$ and $\beta(\alpha_s) = d\alpha_s(M)/d\ln M$ is the Gell-Mann–Low function. It is well known that the quark mass has an anomalous dimension and depends on the scale M. The renormalization group equation for the running mass $m_0(M)$ has the form $d\ln m_0/d\ln M = -\gamma_m$ and the $\overline{MS}$ scheme is used, where β and γ_m are independent of the quark mass [34, 41]. The renormalization group invariant mass has the form

$$m_q = m_{oq}(M)\exp\{\int^{\alpha_s(M)} \frac{\gamma_{m_q}(\alpha_s)}{\beta(\alpha_s)} d\alpha_s\} \ , \tag{5}$$

Since the energy density is a renormalization group invariant quantity, its anomalous dimension is zero. Therefore, ε_R has only a normal (canonical) dimension equal to 4. In view of the renormalization group invariance of the quantity of Λ, ε_R can be written in the most general form

$$\varepsilon_R = \Lambda^4 f(\frac{B}{\Lambda^2}, \frac{m_u}{\Lambda}, \frac{m_d}{\Lambda}) \ , \tag{6}$$

where f is a certain function. It follows from Eqs.(4),(5) and (6) that

$$\frac{\partial\varepsilon_R}{\partial(1/g_0^2)} = \frac{\partial\varepsilon_R}{\partial\Lambda}\frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial(1/g_0^2)} + \sum_q \frac{\partial\varepsilon_R}{\partial m_q}\frac{\partial m_q}{\partial(1/g_0^2)} \ , \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{\partial m_q}{\partial(1/g_0^2)} = -4\pi\alpha_s^2 m_q \frac{\gamma_{m_q}(\alpha_s)}{\beta(\alpha_s)} \ . \tag{8}$$

In view of (3) the gluon condensate is given by the expression

$$\langle G^2\rangle(B, m_u, m_d) = \frac{16\pi\alpha_s^2}{\beta(\alpha_s)}(4 - 2B\frac{\partial}{\partial B} - \sum_q (1+\gamma_{m_q}) m_q \frac{\partial}{\partial m_q})\varepsilon_R. \tag{9}$$

It is convenient to choose the scale large enough to take the lowest order in the expansion of the Gell- Mann-Low function, $\beta(\alpha_s) \to -b\alpha_s^2/2\pi$, where $b = (11N_c - 2N_f)/3$ and $1+\gamma_m \to 1$. Thus, the equations for condensates have the form [8]

$$\langle G^2\rangle(B) = -\frac{32\pi^2}{b}(4 - 2B\frac{\partial}{\partial B} - \sum_q m_q \frac{\partial}{\partial m_q})\varepsilon_R \equiv -\hat{D}\varepsilon_R \ , \tag{10}$$

$$\langle\bar{q}q\rangle(B) = \frac{\partial\varepsilon_R}{\partial m_q} \ . \tag{11}$$

3. In QCD, the effective energy density from which condensates $\langle G^2\rangle(B)$ and $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle(B)$ can be obtained by Eqs. (10) and (11), respectively, has the form

$$\varepsilon_{eff}(B) = \varepsilon_{vac} + \varepsilon_h(B), \tag{12}$$

where $\varepsilon_{vac} = \frac{1}{4}\langle\theta_{\mu\mu}\rangle$ is the nonperturbative vacuum energy density at $B = 0$ and

$$\langle\theta_{\mu\mu}\rangle = -\frac{b}{32\pi^2}\langle G^2\rangle + \sum_{q=u,d} m_q\langle\bar{q}q\rangle \tag{13}$$

is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor. In Eq. (12), $\varepsilon_h(B)$ is the energy density created by hadrons in the magnetic field. The quark and gluon condensates are given by the formulas

$$\langle\bar{q}q\rangle(B) = \frac{\partial\varepsilon_{eff}}{\partial m_q}, \tag{14}$$

$$\langle G^2\rangle(B) = -\hat{D}\varepsilon_{eff}, \tag{15}$$

where the operator $\hat{D}$, according to Eq. (10), has the form

$$\hat{D} = \frac{32\pi^2}{b}(4 - 2B\frac{\partial}{\partial B} - \sum_q m_q\frac{\partial}{\partial m_q}) \ . \tag{16}$$

Below, the case $B = 0$ is considered with the use of the low-energy theorem for the derivative of the gluon condensate with respect to the quark mass [32]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m_q}\langle G^2\rangle = \int d^4x\langle G^2(0)\bar{q}q(x)\rangle = -\frac{96\pi^2}{b}\langle\bar{q}q\rangle + O(m_q), \tag{17}$$

where $O(m_q)$ stands for terms linear in the masses of light quarks. The resulting relation has the form

$$\frac{\partial\varepsilon_{vac}}{\partial m_q} = -\frac{b}{128\pi^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial m_q}\langle G^2\rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle\bar{q}q\rangle = \frac{3}{4}\langle\bar{q}q\rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle\bar{q}q\rangle = \langle\bar{q}q\rangle. \tag{18}$$

Three-fourths of the quark condensate originates from the gluon part of the nonperturbative energy density of vacuum. The following expression for the gluon condensate is obtained similarly

$$-\hat{D}\varepsilon_{vac} = \langle G^2\rangle. \tag{19}$$

In order to obtain the dependence of the quark and gluon condensates on the magnetic field B , it is convenient to use the Gell-Mann–Oakes–Renner relation ($\Sigma = |\langle\bar{u}u\rangle| = |\langle\bar{d}d\rangle|$)

$$F_\pi^2 M_\pi^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(m_u + m_d)\langle\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d\rangle = (m_u + m_d)\Sigma \ . \tag{20}$$

Then, the following relations are derived:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m_q} = \frac{\Sigma}{F_\pi^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial M_\pi^2} \ , \tag{21}$$

$$\sum_q m_q \frac{\partial}{\partial m_q} = (m_u + m_d)\frac{\Sigma}{F_\pi^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial M_\pi^2} = M_\pi^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial M_\pi^2} \ , \tag{22}$$

$$\hat{D} = \frac{32\pi^2}{b}(4 - 2B\frac{\partial}{\partial B} - M_\pi^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial M_\pi^2}) \ . \tag{23}$$

Within the approach described above, it is possible to obtain a low-energy relation for the quantum anomaly in the trace of the energy-momentum tensor in the magnetic field. The leading contribution to the energy density in the magnetic field comes from the lightest hadrons, namely, π-mesons. The general expression for the energy density in the magnetic field has the form

$$\varepsilon_\pi = B^2 \varphi(M_\pi^2/B) \ , \tag{24}$$

where φ is a function of the ratio M_π^2/B. Then, we obtain the relation

$$(4 - 2B\frac{\partial}{\partial B} - M_\pi^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial M_\pi^2})\varepsilon_\pi = M_\pi^2 \frac{\partial \varepsilon_\pi}{\partial M_\pi^2} \ . \tag{25}$$

Taking into account Eqs. (14,15), (18,22) and (25), we arrive at the relations

$$\Delta\langle\bar{q}q\rangle = \frac{\partial \varepsilon_\pi}{\partial m_q}, \quad \Delta\langle G^2\rangle = -\frac{32\pi^2}{b} M_\pi^2 \frac{\partial \varepsilon_\pi}{\partial M_\pi^2} \ , \tag{26}$$

where $\Delta\langle\bar{q}q\rangle = \langle\bar{q}q\rangle_B - \langle\bar{q}q\rangle$ and $\Delta\langle G^2\rangle = \langle G^2\rangle_B - \langle G^2\rangle$. In view of Eq. (22), Eq.(26) can be written in the form

$$\Delta\langle G^2\rangle = -\frac{32\pi^2}{b}\sum_q m_q \Delta\langle\bar{q}q\rangle \ . \tag{27}$$

The division of both sides of Eq. (27) by ΔB and the passage to the limit $\Delta B \to 0$ give

$$\frac{\partial\langle G^2\rangle}{\partial B} = -\frac{32\pi^2}{b}\sum_q m_q \frac{\partial\langle\bar{q}q\rangle}{\partial B} \ . \tag{28}$$

This relation can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{\partial\langle\theta^g_{\mu\mu}\rangle}{\partial B} = \frac{\partial\langle\theta^q_{\mu\mu}\rangle}{\partial B} \ , \tag{29}$$

where $\langle\theta^q_{\mu\mu}\rangle = \sum m_q\langle\bar{q}q\rangle$ and $\langle\theta^g_{\mu\mu}\rangle = (\beta(\alpha_s)/16\pi\alpha_s^2)\langle G^2\rangle$ are the quark and gluon contributions, respectively, to the trace of the energy-momentum tensor. This result was obtained with the use of the Gell-Mann–Oakes–Renner relation; consequently, (28) and (29) are valid in the theory with light quarks. Therefore, in the region where excitations of massive hadrons, as well as the interaction of pions, can be neglected, Eq. (29) becomes an exact theorem of QCD.

In the chiral limit ($m_q = 0$), it follows from Eq. (27) that the gluon condensate (in contrast to the quark condensate) is independent of the magnetic field ($\Delta\langle G^2\rangle = 0$). This is because massless noninteracting π-mesons constitute a scale-invariant system and, hence, do not contribute to the trace of the energy-momentum tensor.

4. The above relations in combination with the magnetic-field dependence of the quark condensate allow the determination of the magnetic-field dependence of the gluon condensate. In chiral perturbation theory, the power-series expansion of the quark condensate in the magnetic field [7] is performed in the parameter $\xi = eB/(4\pi F_\pi)^2$. Then, within chiral perturbation theory, the field at $\xi < 1$ can be treated as weak. However, from the physical point of view, it is necessary to consider the radius of curvature of the trajectory of a particle in the field (Larmor radius) $1/\sqrt{eB}$. If the Larmor radius is smaller than the charge radius of the π-meson, $1/\sqrt{eB} < r_\pi$, the particle cannot be considered as a point particle and the quark structure of the π-meson should be taken into account. For weak magnetic fields, the shift of the quark condensate in the magnetic field was found in [8] ($\Delta\Sigma = -\Delta\langle\bar{q}q\rangle$)

$$\frac{\Delta\Sigma}{\Sigma} = \frac{(eB)^2}{96\pi^2 F_\pi^2 M_\pi^2} \ . \tag{30}$$

According to Eq. (27) and the Gell-Mann–Oakes–Renner relation given by Eq. (20), the behavior of the gluon condensate in a weak magnetic field is described by the expression

$$\langle G^2\rangle(B) = \langle G^2\rangle + \frac{(eB)^2}{3b} \ . \tag{31}$$

In the case of a strong magnetic field, the quark condensate was calculated in [6] in the form

$$\frac{\Delta\Sigma}{\Sigma} = \frac{eB}{(4\pi F_\pi)^2}\ln 2 \ . \tag{32}$$

Then, the gluon condensate in strong fields is given by the expression

$$\langle G^2\rangle(B) = \langle G^2\rangle + \frac{2\ln 2}{b} M_\pi^2 eB \ . \tag{33}$$

A quadratic increase in the gluon condensate in weak magnetic fields is in agreement with lattice calculations [42], where this phenomenon was called gluon catalysis.

5. The nonperturbative QCD vacuum in a magnetic field has been studied. A low-energy relation for the trace of the energy-momentum tensor in the magnetic field has been obtained ab initio. Analytical expressions for the gluon condensate in the magnetic field have been

derived in the limits of strong and weak fields.

---

1. D. Kharzeev, R. D. Pisarski and M. H. G. Tytgat, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 512 (1998).
2. D. Kharzeev, Phys. Lett. B **633**, 260 (2006).
3. D. E. Kharzeev, L. D. McLerran and H. J. Warringa, Nucl. Phys. A **803**, 227 (2008).
4. K. Fukushima, D. E. Kharzeev and H. J. Warringa, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 074033 (2008).
5. K. Fukushima, M. Ruggieri and R. Gatto, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 114031 (2010).
6. I. A. Shushpanov and A. V. Smilga, Phys. Lett. B **402**, 351 (1997).
7. N. O. Agasian and I. A. Shushpanov, Phys. Lett. B **472**, 143 (2000).
8. N. O. Agasian, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **64**, 554 (2001) [Yad. Fiz. **64**, 608 (2001)].
9. N. O. Agasian and I. A. Shushpanov, JHEP **0110**, 006 (2001).
10. N. O. Agasian and S. M. Fedorov, Phys. Lett. B **663**, 445 (2008).
11. E. S. Fraga and A. J. Mizher, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 025016 (2008).
12. A. J. Mizher, M. N. Chernodub and E. S. Fraga, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 105016 (2010).
13. E. S. Fraga, Lect. Notes Phys. **871**, 121 (2013).
14. N. O. Agasian, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **71**, 1967 (2008) [Yad. Fiz. **71**, 1998 (2008)].
15. R. Gatto and M. Ruggieri, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 034016 (2011).
16. R. Gatto and M. Ruggieri, Lect. Notes Phys. **871**, 87 (2013).
17. A. Ayala, C. A. Dominguez, L. A. Hernandez, M. Loewe and R. Zamora, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 9, 096011 (2015) Addendum: [Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 11, 119905 (2015)].
18. A. Ayala, C. A. Dominguez, L. A. Hernandez, M. Loewe, J. C. Rojas and C. Villavicencio, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 1, 016006 (2015).
19. J. O. Andersen and A. Tranberg, JHEP **1208**, 002 (2012).
20. J. O. Andersen, W. R. Naylor and A. Tranberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **88**, 025001 (2016).
21. D. P. Menezes, M. Benghi Pinto, S. S. Avancini, A. Perez Martinez and C. Providencia, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 035807 (2009).
22. D. P. Menezes, M. Benghi Pinto, S. S. Avancini and C. Providencia, Phys. Rev. C **80**, 065805 (2009).
23. G. S. Bali, F. Bruckmann, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz and A. Schafer, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 071502 (2012).

24. V. D. Orlovsky and Y. A. Simonov, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 5, 054012 (2014).
25. V. D. Orlovsky and Y. A. Simonov, JHEP **1309**, 136 (2013).
26. M. A. Andreichikov, B. O. Kerbikov, V. D. Orlovsky and Y. A. Simonov, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 9, 094029 (2013).
27. V. A. Miransky and I. A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rept. **576**, 1 (2015).
28. E.-M. Ilgenfritz, M. Kalinowski, M. Muller-Preussker, B. Petersson and A. Schreiber, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 114504 (2012).
29. E.-M. Ilgenfritz, M. Muller-Preussker, B. Petersson and A. Schreiber, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 5, 054512 (2014).
30. P. V. Buividovich, M. N. Chernodub, E. V. Luschevskaya and M. I. Polikarpov, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 054503 (2009).
31. M. D'Elia, S. Mukherjee and F. Sanfilippo, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 051501 (2010).
32. V. A. Novikov, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B **191**, 301 (1981); Sov. J. Part. Nucl. **13**, 224 (1982); A. A. Migdal and M. A. Shifman, Phys. Lett. B **114**, 445 (1982).
33. P. J. Ellis, J. I. Kapusta, and H. B. Tang, Phys. Lett. B **443**, 63 (1998).
34. I. A. Shushpanov, J. I. Kapusta and P. J. Ellis, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 2931 (1999).
35. N. O. Agasian, JETP Lett. **95**, 171 (2012).
36. N. O. Agasian, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **74**, 1230 (2011). [Yad. Fiz. **74**, 1259 (2011)].
37. N. O. Agasian, Phys. Lett. B **562**, 257 (2003).
38. N. O. Agasian, Phys. Lett. B **488**, 39 (2000).
39. N. O. Agasian, JETP Lett. **74**, 353 (2001).
40. N. O. Agasian, Phys. Lett. B **519**, 71 (2001).
41. T. Muta, Foundations of Chromodynamics, World Scientific Lecture Notes in Physics 57 (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).
42. M. D'Elia, E. Meggiolaro, M. Mesiti and F. Negro, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 054017 (2016).